



MINISTÉRIO PÚBLICO PORTUGAL

PROCURADORIA-GERAL DA REPÚBLICA GABINETE CIBERCRIME

Cybercrime and digital resilience

Cybercrime: where is the evidence? or: "Help! I'm **lost** in the middle of **nowhere**!"



Internet makes us global we are "here" and "there" at the same time

Here is nowhere

every day more online crimes occur – thus, more evidence is online

... maybe stored abroad or in unknown location

these crimes violate human rights and privacy – no guilty



"here" is "nowhere"

Need to obtain
evidence outside
the borders of the
State

• No choice – crime is no longer "national"



More transnational crimes

Digital evidence in all the crimes

 Global services are everywhere (email, cloud services, used everywhere)

- Most of the cases require obtaining e-evidence transborder
- "The Goalie's anxiety at the penalty kick", a Wim Wenders Film
- MLA is expanding brutally!
- The current system cannot resist
- Data flows across the globe in one second, while obtaining it, by law enforcement, may take one year

"The Goalie's anxiety at the penalty kick", a Wim Wenders Film

- international cooperation?
- direct access?
- informal request to service providers?

- conflicting regulations
- complying with a request for data in one country implies breaking the law in another

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTF FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF F

In addition, the Government argues that Google's architecture creates an

In re Search Warrant No. 16-960-M-01 to Google

insurmountable obstacle for the Government to overcome in the MLAT process. The location of

In re Search The data, however, is a moving target: stored one day in a data center in Finland to Google or Singapore; and automatically moved the next day to a new data center in Chile, or Belgium. Further complicating things is that Google user data - such as an e-THOMAS United Stat mail, or an e-mail attachment - is not stored as one single, cohesive digital file; instead, Google stores individual data files in multiple data "shards," each of the Store separate shard being stored in separate locations around the world. And, Google Google Inc. cannot even determine where its separate data shards are stored around the world certain elec at any given time; and, even if one shard were to stay in one place, without all of Each accou the shards being collected and put together at once to form the actual digital file, occurred so each shard alone is a useless piece of coded gibberish. Of course, each shard persons loc might move instantaneously to somewhere else; and then to somewhere else; and so on, and so forth.

. . . .

produce ele

partially co

that it could confirm is stored on its servers located in the United States. (N.T. 1/12/17 at 13.)



Still physical location

Still geographic limits

Still political borders

Evidence is:

in <u>multiple</u> locations <u>undetermined</u> locations

need **new factors** (besides territoriality) to determine the location of the data 10

Also a new approach

- new ways to access to data
- direct/transborder

...and the evidence stored by global providers?

...where are our Facebook posts?



...location of the posts?

Jurisdiction?

California? Sweden? Ireland? Your country (Art 18,1 b BC)?

To whom, address a request? How to enforce it?



"here" is "no where"

Please, can you tell me the way to the dark web?



Some positive moves

• EIO

- EU E-Evidence package
- Future Additional Protocol to the Budapest Convention



FRANK SINATRA – MY WAY

And now, the end is near; And so I face the final curtain.



Some positive moves

• EIO

- EU E-Evidence package
- Future Additional Protocol to the Budapest Convention

- Already a long way
- Different and conflicting views (EP, Council, Commission): uncertainty





We shall overcome ...

- direct cooperation with international providers (subscriber information)
- simplified MLA requests
- international production orders
- the *power of disposal*, as jurisdiction connecting factor



• Thank you!

• Questions?